

Concentrations - Solutions

Mass percent

1. Quartz: 50.5 m%; Mica: 26.2 m%; Feldspar: 23.3 m%
2. 97.5 g quartz
3. total mass (100 %) = 300g; 60 g of NaOH are **20 m%** of 300 g.
4. total mass: 555g (= 100%);
CaSO₄: 2.16 %; NaNO₃: 3.24 % KCl: 4.50 % (water: 90.1 %)
5. total mass: 38.5 g → **13.5 g zinc**
6. a.) 660.4 kg
b.) 825 kg Al₂O₃ → **437.3 g pure aluminium**
7. 5000 kg
8. a.) **2 KClO_{3(s)} → 3 O_{2(g)} + 2 KCl_(s)**
b.) **2.15 g O₂** (5.5 g – 3.35 g)
c.) 39.1 mass-%

Extra tasks:

- d.) (5 mol products) **60 mole-% O₂**
9. total: 28.37 moles (100%); **0.31 mole-% CaSO₄; 0.74 mole-% NaNO₃; 1.16 mole-% KCl;**
(97.78% water)

Volume percent

1.

	Shandy	Beer	Red Wine	Kirsch
Vol.-% ethanol	2.0	4.8	13.5	35
Ethanol concentration [mL/100 mL]	2 mL / 100 mL	4.8 mL / 100 mL	13.5 mL / 100 mL	35 mL / 100 mL
Volume of the beverage [mL]	300 mL	300 mL	100 mL	40 mL
Total volume of ethanol in the beverage [mL]	6 mL	14.4 mL	13.5 mL	14 mL

Therefore, except for shandy which is diluted with a soft drink, they all contain more or less the same amount of alcohol. This is the reason they are served in those amounts.

2. Prosecco: 10.5 mL ethanol. 1 Malibu = 21 mL ethanol; 2x10.5 = 21mL → 50 mL of Malibu
The ethanol concentration in Malibu is twice that in Prosecco.
3. 40% of 1L = 0.4L of pure alcohol; 4.8% of 0.3L = 0.0144L
0.4L / 0.0144L = 27.8 bottles
4. 14.6 x 500mL = 7.3L
5. 0.5 Vol.-% = 0.5 ml / 100ml → 25 ml in 5 litres. So why does drinking one litre of beer not kill you?

Mass concentration

- 500 mg = 0.5 g; $0.5 \times 10 = 5$ g proteins; 240 g carbohydrates; 1g fat
- a.) 1 g/L
b.) 0.02 g/L
c.) 5 g/L
- a.) 108 g per 1000ml \rightarrow 108g/L
b.) 54g in 500 mL \rightarrow 13.5 sugar cubes (!)
c.) if 65 g is 22% at a 2,000 calorie diet (on the food label!) that means: 310g for women, 354g for men

Extra task:

- d.) Molar mass: $M(\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_{11}) = 342.3 \text{ g/mol}$
 $162 \text{ g} / 342.3 \text{ g/mol} = 0.473 \text{ mol}$; around 0.5 mol = 2.85×10^{23} sugar molecules!

Molarity

- Molar mass of NaOH: $23\text{g/mol} + 16\text{g/mol} + 1\text{g/mol} = 40\text{g/mol} \rightarrow 40\text{g NaOH}$
- a.) Molar mass: $180.18 \text{ g/mol} (= 12.01\text{g/mol} \times 6 + 1.01\text{g/mol} \times 12 + 16 \text{ g/mol} \times 6)$
 $18.02 \text{ g} = 0.1 \text{ mol}$. This is a 0.1 M solution.
b.) First put some water in a 1L beaker (e.g. half a litre), weigh 360.36 g (2 moles) of glucose and dissolve it. Then fill the beaker/ flask to the 1l mark with water. It is very important to follow this procedure to make sure you get exactly one litre, no more, no less.
c.) $250 \text{ mL} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ litre}$. $360.26\text{g}/4 = 90.90 \text{ g}$ of glucose powder \rightarrow fill to the 250 mL mark.
 $0.5 \text{ mol}/0.250 \text{ L} = 2 \text{ mol/L}$ (1000xgreater volume)
- Molar mass: $2 \times 22.99\text{g/mol} + 32.07 \text{ g/mol} + 4 \times 16\text{g/mol} = 142.05 \text{ g/mol}$
 1.42g in 200 mL \rightarrow 7.1g in 1000 mL
 $7.1 \text{ g} / 142.05 \text{ g} \rightarrow 0.05 \text{ mol/L} = \mathbf{0.05 \text{ mol/L}}$
- $M(\text{LiF}) = 25.94 \text{ g/mol} \rightarrow 25.5 \text{ g} / 25.94 \text{ g/mol} = 0.98 \text{ mol}$; $0.98\text{mol} / 0.88 \text{ M} = \mathbf{1.114 \text{ L}}$
- $0.25\text{L} \times 0.1 \text{ mol/L} = 0.025\text{mol}$; $0.025 \text{ mol} / 0.5 \text{ mol/L} = \mathbf{0.05 \text{ L}}$
- 0.75 M: $0.035\text{L} \times 0.75\text{mol/L} = 0.02625 \text{ mol}$
0.15 M: $0.1\text{L} \times 0.15\text{mol/L} = 0.015 \text{ mol}$
In total: 0.04125 mol in $0.135 \text{ L} \rightarrow 0.04125 \text{ mol} / 0.135 \text{ L} = \mathbf{0.306 \text{ mol/L} = 0.306 \text{ mol/L}}$
or: $(35 \text{ mL} \times 0.75\text{M} + 100\text{mL} \times 0.15\text{M})/135\text{mL}$
- Solution of known concentration: 0.5M: $0.1 \text{ L} \times 0.5\text{mol/L} = 0.05 \text{ mol}$
 $0.55 \text{ mol} - 0.05 \text{ mol} = 0.5 \text{ mol}$ in the unknown solution
 $0.50 \text{ mol} / 0.25 \text{ mL} = \mathbf{2 \text{ mol/L}}$
- $(1/100)^{30} = 1 \times 10^{-60}$. Even if you could dissolve a ton in 1 litre of alcohol, it would still be extremely improbable to even find a single molecule in the final solution ($N_A = 6.02 \times 10^{23}$!)
- $0.015 \text{ L} \times 0.35 \text{ mol/L} = 0.00525 \text{ mol H}_2\text{SO}_4$
because each molecule of H_2SO_4 reacts with 2 KOH: $2 \times 0.00525 \text{ mol} = 0.0105 \text{ mol KOH}$
 $0.0105 \text{ mol} / 0.25 \text{ mol/L} = 0.042 \text{ L}$
- $0.22 \text{ mol/L} \times 0.0375 \text{ L} = 0.00825 \text{ mol NaOH}$ (=twice the amount of oxalic acid)
 $0.00825 \text{ mol} / 2 = 0.004125 \text{ mol oxalic acid} \rightarrow$
 $25\text{mL} \rightarrow 0.004125 \text{ mol} / 0.025\text{L} = \mathbf{0.165 \text{ mol/L}}$
- a.) $2 \text{ H}_3\text{PO}_4 + 3 \text{ BaCl}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ba}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 + 6 \text{ HCl}$
b.) $M(\text{Ba}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2) = 601.93 \text{ g/mol}$; $3.26 \text{ g} / 601.93 \text{ g/mol} = 0.0054 \text{ mol}$
Because 3 BaCl_2 are needed to form 1 $\text{Ba}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$: $0.0054\text{mol} \times 3 = \mathbf{0.0162 \text{ mol BaCl}_2}$
 $0.0162 \text{ mol} / 0.125 \text{ mL} = \mathbf{0.130 \text{ M}}$
- $2 \text{ Na}_{(s)} + 2 \text{ H}_2\text{O}_{(l)} \rightarrow \text{H}_{2(g)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(aq)}$
with $V_M = 24 \text{ L/mol} \rightarrow 0.0504\text{L} / 24 \text{ L/mol} = 0.0021 \text{ mol H}_2 \rightarrow$ twice as much NaOH = 0.0042 mol
 $0.0042 \text{ mol} / 0.175 \text{ L} = \mathbf{0.024 \text{ mol/L}}$